(Rev. 1-91)

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)		3. Date	3. Date of Land Evaluation Request 2/16/09 4. Sheet 1 of 1					
1. Name of Project STIP Project R-2530B		5. Fede Fed	5. Federal Agency Involved Federal Highway Administration					
2. Type of Project Highway Widening		6. Cour	6. County and State Albemarie, Stanly County, North Carolina					
			1. Date Request Received by NRCS 2. Person Completing Form					
3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form).			YES NO			4. Acres Irrigated Average Farm Size		
			in Government Jurisdiction			7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA		
Acres:			%			Acres: %		
8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used 9. Name of Local Si			ite Assessment System			10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS		
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)			Alternative Corridor For Segment					
			Corridor A	Corridor B South		r# Corridor C	Corridor D	
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services			192	192				
C. Total Acres In Corridor			192	192		0	0	
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information								
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland				 				
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland						1.5		
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Va			1 2	. 91	¹⁷			
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative			****		Mag North	AND A SECOND		
value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)					11.			
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c))		Maximum Points						
1. Area in Nonurban Use		15	12	12				
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use		10	8	8	8			
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed		20	16	16				
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government		20	0	0				
Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average		10	0	7				
6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland		25	0	0				
7. Availability Of Farm Support Services		5	4	4				
8. On-Farm investments		20	2	0				
Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services		25	0	0				
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use		10	2	2			······································	
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS		160	44	49 0		0	0	
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)								
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		100				,		
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		160	44	49 0		0	0	
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260	44	49		0	0	
Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farm	nlands to be	3. Date Of S	election:	4. Was A	Local Site	e Assessment Used	?	
Converted by Proje	ect:							
			YES 🛛 NO 🗆					
5. Reason For Selection:								
Vuna herren Pharries								
Signature of Person Completing this Part: DATE								
Kimberly Phillips 2/16/09								
NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor								
110 TE. Complete a form for each segment with more trian one Attendate Compos								